## ECA 2012 – SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF PARTICIPANT SURVEY

1. Number of respondents: 111

2. How did you learn about ECA 2012?
   - GC web site – 8
   - Google/search engine – 3
   - Via member assoc – 57
   - Ads in journals/magazines – 3
   - Word of mouth/recommendation – 13

3. What triggered you to participate?
   - Programme – 71
   - Location – 19
   - Price – 30
   - Date – 13

4. Plenary sessions (open format response)
   - generally Good or Very Good – some Excellent, some OK

5. Did the Congress meet your expectations?
   - Yes – 70
   - No – 0

6. Parallel sessions – overall opinion (open format response)
   - Generally very favourable
   - Information on academic papers by young actuaries in advance

7. Were you particularly impressed by any of the speakers? (>10 votes)
   - Chris Daykin
   - Steven Haberman
   - Ad Kok/Karel Goossens

**ECA 2012 – SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF PARTICIPANT SURVEY**

- Davide Canestraro
- Eberhard Müller
- Bart De Smet

8. Opinion of Radisson Blu (scores out of max 10)
   - Meeting rooms – 7.5
   - Catering – 7.7
   - Audio visual – 8.2
   - Overall – 7.4

9. Opinion of dinner arrangements (scores out of max 10)
   - Transport – 8.0
   - Location – 7.9
   - Dinner – 7.0
   - Staff – 7.8

10. Opinion of Congress organisation
    - Poor – 0
    - Adequate -4
    - Good – 22
    - Excellent – 48

11. Was information prior to Congress satisfactory?
    - Yes – 67
    - No – 4

12. Was web site registration easy?
    - Yes – 63
    - No - 5