

Here are Sweden's responses to the questions below.

- A status update on your Associations' compliance with the new AAE Code of Professional Conduct;
There hasn't been a final decision/implementation, but I expect us to do the same as we had done with the previous CoC, ie use a direct translation
- Your proposal on how to audit an FMA's compliance with and in accordance with our Statutes:
 - The new AAE Code of Professional Conduct;
 - Having a formal disciplinary process in place.
We have said to date that the IAA would rely on our review of syllabus adherence, whereas we would rely on the IAAs review of CoC and discipline scheme. As our requirements for discipline are the same as IAA there is no reason to change approach there, however for CoC we now have a somewhat different standard so we maybe need to do more. My position would be that the best approach would be to ask associations to outline how they have ensured their CoC complies - ie focus on the process at member associations rather than trying to review the associations CoC directly (which can be particularly difficult if the review doesn't have fluency in the language in question, and not particularly productive)
- Any challenges that your Association is facing or any interesting information for the other ProfC Members.
Nothing particular
- Any arguments/questions you may have on the documents sent with the agenda. This will allow me to group similar points which are raised by several members and hence make the call more efficient.
None in particular
- Proposal of a topic for the ProfC 2021 Webinar.
Maybe something related to the new CoC and key ethical challenges

Malcolm Campbell
Sweden