



Redeveloping European statistics on population

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

This public consultation is to inform the **Commission initiative [Data collection – European statistics on population \(ESOP\)](#)**. The purpose of this initiative is to ensure that European statistics on population remain relevant, coherent and comparable in the face of demographic, migratory, social and economic changes in society.

ESOP covers the whole set of official statistics on population and housing censuses, demography and international migration in the EU, which the European Statistical System (Eurostat and National Statistical Institutes) publishes regularly. Demographic statistics include population stocks and balances, births and deaths, as well as marriages and divorces. International migration statistics include changes of residence between countries (within and from/to the EU) as well as acquisitions or losses of citizenship.

Not included in ESOP are statistics on administrative procedures linked to migration (such as asylum applications or residence permits) as well as statistics from European social surveys (such as on income and living conditions or the labour force).

This survey contains **four mandatory sections**. Section 1 collects some information about you or the organisation you represent. Section 2 collects your feedback on how European statistics on population have performed until now and section 3 seeks your opinion on how to improve these statistics going forward. Section 4 includes a few closing questions.

It should take **20 to 40 minutes to complete** the survey. You can save your replies as a draft and finish later. You can upload a document stating your views at the end of the survey.

About you

* Language of my contribution

- ☐ Bulgarian
- ☐ Croatian
- ☐ Czech
- ☐ Danish
- ☐ Dutch
- ☒ English
- ☐ Estonian
- ☐ Finnish
- ☐ French
- ☐ German
- ☐ Greek
- ☐ Hungarian
- ☐ Irish
- ☐ Italian
- ☐ Latvian
- ☐ Lithuanian
- ☐ Maltese
- ☐ Polish
- ☐ Portuguese
- ☐ Romanian
- ☐ Slovak
- ☐ Slovenian
- ☐ Spanish
- ☐ Swedish

* I am giving my contribution as

- ☐ Academic/research institution
- ☐ Business association
- ☐ Company/business organisation
- ☐ Consumer organisation
- ☐ EU citizen
- ☐ Environmental organisation
- ☐ Non-EU citizen

- ☐ Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- ☐ Public authority
- ☐ Trade union
- ☒ Other

* First name

Monique

* Surname

Schuilenburg

* Email (this won't be published)

moniques@actuary.eu

* Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum

Actuarial Association of Europe

* Organisation size

- ☐ Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- ☐ Small (10 to 49 employees)
- ☐ Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- ☒ Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the [transparency register](#). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

550855911144-54

* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Afghanistan | <input type="radio"/> Djibouti | <input type="radio"/> Libya | <input type="radio"/> Saint Martin |
| <input type="radio"/> Åland Islands | <input type="radio"/> Dominica | <input type="radio"/> Liechtenstein | <input type="radio"/> Saint Pierre and Miquelon |

- | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| ○ Albania | ○ Dominican Republic | ○ Lithuania | ○ Saint Vincent and the Grenadines |
| ○ Algeria | ○ Ecuador | ○ Luxembourg | ○ Samoa |
| ○ American Samoa | ○ Egypt | ○ Macau | ○ San Marino |
| ○ Andorra | ○ El Salvador | ○ Madagascar | ○ São Tomé and Príncipe |
| ○ Angola | ○ Equatorial Guinea | ○ Malawi | ○ Saudi Arabia |
| ○ Anguilla | ○ Eritrea | ○ Malaysia | ○ Senegal |
| ○ Antarctica | ○ Estonia | ○ Maldives | ○ Serbia |
| ○ Antigua and Barbuda | ○ Eswatini | ○ Mali | ○ Seychelles |
| ○ Argentina | ○ Ethiopia | ○ Malta | ○ Sierra Leone |
| ○ Armenia | ○ Falkland Islands | ○ Marshall Islands | ○ Singapore |
| ○ Aruba | ○ Faroe Islands | ○ Martinique | ○ Sint Maarten |
| ○ Australia | ○ Fiji | ○ Mauritania | ○ Slovakia |
| ○ Austria | ○ Finland | ○ Mauritius | ○ Slovenia |
| ○ Azerbaijan | ○ France | ○ Mayotte | ○ Solomon Islands |
| ○ Bahamas | ○ French Guiana | ○ Mexico | ○ Somalia |
| ○ Bahrain | ○ French Polynesia | ○ Micronesia | ○ South Africa |
| ○ Bangladesh | ○ French Southern and Antarctic Lands | ○ Moldova | ○ South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands |
| ○ Barbados | ○ Gabon | ○ Monaco | ○ South Korea |
| ○ Belarus | ○ Georgia | ○ Mongolia | ○ South Sudan |
| ○ Belgium | ○ Germany | ○ Montenegro | ○ Spain |
| ○ Belize | ○ Ghana | ○ Montserrat | ○ Sri Lanka |
| ○ Benin | ○ Gibraltar | ○ Morocco | ○ Sudan |
| ○ Bermuda | ○ Greece | ○ Mozambique | ○ Suriname |
| ○ Bhutan | ○ Greenland | ○ Myanmar/Burma | ○ Svalbard and Jan Mayen |
| ○ Bolivia | ○ Grenada | ○ Namibia | ○ Sweden |

<input type="radio"/> Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba	<input type="radio"/> Guadeloupe	<input type="radio"/> Nauru	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Switzerland
<input type="radio"/> Bosnia and Herzegovina	<input type="radio"/> Guam	<input type="radio"/> Nepal	<input type="radio"/> Syria
<input type="radio"/> Botswana	<input type="radio"/> Guatemala	<input type="radio"/> Netherlands	<input type="radio"/> Taiwan
<input type="radio"/> Bouvet Island	<input type="radio"/> Guernsey	<input type="radio"/> New Caledonia	<input type="radio"/> Tajikistan
<input type="radio"/> Brazil	<input type="radio"/> Guinea	<input type="radio"/> New Zealand	<input type="radio"/> Tanzania
<input type="radio"/> British Indian Ocean Territory	<input type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau	<input type="radio"/> Nicaragua	<input type="radio"/> Thailand
<input type="radio"/> British Virgin Islands	<input type="radio"/> Guyana	<input type="radio"/> Niger	<input type="radio"/> The Gambia
<input type="radio"/> Brunei	<input type="radio"/> Haiti	<input type="radio"/> Nigeria	<input type="radio"/> Timor-Leste
<input type="radio"/> Bulgaria	<input type="radio"/> Heard Island and McDonald Islands	<input type="radio"/> Niue	<input type="radio"/> Togo
<input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso	<input type="radio"/> Honduras	<input type="radio"/> Norfolk Island	<input type="radio"/> Tokelau
<input type="radio"/> Burundi	<input type="radio"/> Hong Kong	<input type="radio"/> Northern Mariana Islands	<input type="radio"/> Tonga
<input type="radio"/> Cambodia	<input type="radio"/> Hungary	<input type="radio"/> North Korea	<input type="radio"/> Trinidad and Tobago
<input type="radio"/> Cameroon	<input type="radio"/> Iceland	<input type="radio"/> North Macedonia	<input type="radio"/> Tunisia
<input type="radio"/> Canada	<input type="radio"/> India	<input type="radio"/> Norway	<input type="radio"/> Turkey
<input type="radio"/> Cape Verde	<input type="radio"/> Indonesia	<input type="radio"/> Oman	<input type="radio"/> Turkmenistan
<input type="radio"/> Cayman Islands	<input type="radio"/> Iran	<input type="radio"/> Pakistan	<input type="radio"/> Turks and Caicos Islands
<input type="radio"/> Central African Republic	<input type="radio"/> Iraq	<input type="radio"/> Palau	<input type="radio"/> Tuvalu
<input type="radio"/> Chad	<input type="radio"/> Ireland	<input type="radio"/> Palestine	<input type="radio"/> Uganda
<input type="radio"/> Chile	<input type="radio"/> Isle of Man	<input type="radio"/> Panama	<input type="radio"/> Ukraine
<input type="radio"/> China	<input type="radio"/> Israel	<input type="radio"/> Papua New Guinea	<input type="radio"/> United Arab Emirates
<input type="radio"/> Christmas Island	<input type="radio"/> Italy	<input type="radio"/> Paraguay	<input type="radio"/> United Kingdom
<input type="radio"/> Clipperton	<input type="radio"/> Jamaica	<input type="radio"/> Peru	<input type="radio"/> United States

- | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Cocos (Keeling) Islands | <input type="radio"/> Japan | <input type="radio"/> Philippines | <input type="radio"/> United States Minor Outlying Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Colombia | <input type="radio"/> Jersey | <input type="radio"/> Pitcairn Islands | <input type="radio"/> Uruguay |
| <input type="radio"/> Comoros | <input type="radio"/> Jordan | <input type="radio"/> Poland | <input type="radio"/> US Virgin Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Congo | <input type="radio"/> Kazakhstan | <input type="radio"/> Portugal | <input type="radio"/> Uzbekistan |
| <input type="radio"/> Cook Islands | <input type="radio"/> Kenya | <input type="radio"/> Puerto Rico | <input type="radio"/> Vanuatu |
| <input type="radio"/> Costa Rica | <input type="radio"/> Kiribati | <input type="radio"/> Qatar | <input type="radio"/> Vatican City |
| <input type="radio"/> Côte d'Ivoire | <input type="radio"/> Kosovo | <input type="radio"/> Réunion | <input type="radio"/> Venezuela |
| <input type="radio"/> Croatia | <input type="radio"/> Kuwait | <input type="radio"/> Romania | <input type="radio"/> Vietnam |
| <input type="radio"/> Cuba | <input type="radio"/> Kyrgyzstan | <input type="radio"/> Russia | <input type="radio"/> Wallis and Futuna |
| <input type="radio"/> Curaçao | <input type="radio"/> Laos | <input type="radio"/> Rwanda | <input type="radio"/> Western Sahara |
| <input type="radio"/> Cyprus | <input type="radio"/> Latvia | <input type="radio"/> Saint Barthélemy | <input type="radio"/> Yemen |
| <input type="radio"/> Czechia | <input type="radio"/> Lebanon | <input type="radio"/> Saint Helena
Ascension and
Tristan da Cunha | <input type="radio"/> Zambia |
| <input type="radio"/> Democratic Republic of the Congo | <input type="radio"/> Lesotho | <input type="radio"/> Saint Kitts and Nevis | <input type="radio"/> Zimbabwe |
| <input type="radio"/> Denmark | <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Saint Lucia | |

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. **For the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association', 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published.** Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

* Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

☐ **Anonymous**

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

☒ **Public**

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

☒ I agree with the [personal data protection provisions](#)

Evaluation of current European statistics on population

* Do you use the [Eurostat website](#) or [database](#) to consult statistics on the European population?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No, I don't know these sources
- ☐ No for other reason

How often do you use European statistics on ...

	Weekly	Monthly	Quarterly	Annually	Less often	Never
* population census outputs	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* housing census outputs	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* annual population stock and balance	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* fertility	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* mortality	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* marriages	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* divorces	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* migration from/to the EU	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* migration within the EU	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* acquisition or loss of citizenship	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Do European statistics on population provide sufficient information on the following elements of demographic and societal change?

	Very sufficient	Somewhat sufficient	Neutral	Somewhat insufficient	Very insufficient	Don't know
* Population size and structure	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Life expectancy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Population age	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Fertility rates	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Household size	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Housing arrangements including homelessness	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* People moving between EU Member States	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Acquisitions and losses of citizenship	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Population of urban areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Population of rural areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Mobility between regions and urban and rural areas in the EU	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Population subgroups at risk of inequality or discrimination (e.g. by disability, gender, race, religion)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain insufficiencies:

1000 character(s) maximum

Do you agree with the following statements? European statistics on population ...

	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neutral	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
* are of high quality overall	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* are relevant	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* meet my information needs in general	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* are available on time	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* are sufficiently complete	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* provide sufficient information details	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* are sufficiently reliable and accurate	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* are sufficiently harmonised and comparable across Member States	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* are sufficiently coherent across statistical datasets	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* are easily accessible	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* are produced efficiently (value added vs. additional costs and burden)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* contribute to public good	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* are impartial and objective	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* are well known	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* adapt quickly to new information needs	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
--	-----------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

* **Are you aware that some Member States do not report European statistics using harmonised population concepts, but rather national ones?**

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Do you agree with the following statements on the use of population concepts and definitions for European statistics?

	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neutral	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
* I find it important that only harmonised concepts and definitions are used	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* It is important for me to know which exact population concepts and definitions are used and I consult the available metadata to verify this	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* I find sufficient information in the metadata on the various population concepts and definitions used	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

The COVID-19 pandemic created the need for reliable high frequency statistics on deaths and excess mortality. **Have you or your organisation used European statistics on weekly deaths or monthly excess mortality since the start of the pandemic?**

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ Don't know

* **Did these statistics meet your information needs?**

- ☐ Quickly and fully
☐ Quickly but not fully

- ☐ Fully but not quickly
- ☒ Neither fully nor quickly

Please explain:

1000 character(s) maximum

Do you agree that European statistics on population lead to the following benefits for your organisation?

	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neutral	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
* Better decision making	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Reduced costs	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Reduced staff time	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Enhanced quality of products or services	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Comparability of data from my country with other Member States	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Other	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Can you provide details of how European statistics on population reduce costs or staff time for your organisation? If possible, please quantify the savings:

1000 character(s) maximum

Do you agree with the following statements? European statistics on population provide added value compared to statistics available from ...

	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neutral	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
--	----------------	----------------	---------	-------------------	-------------------	------------

* national statistical sources	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* other international statistical sources, e.g. the UN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* The legal framework currently in force on European statistics on population consists of Regulations [\(EC\) No 862/2007](#), [\(EC\) No 763/2008](#) and [\(EU\) No 1260/2013](#). **Are you familiar with the current legal framework?**

- ☐ Yes, fully
☒ Yes, to a certain degree
☐ No

Do you agree with the following statements? The current EU legislation ...

	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neutral	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
* is fit for purpose	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* ensures comparability of statistics between EU countries	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* helps share knowledge and best practices among statisticians	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* enables users to obtain statistics from a single source	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* is efficient in minimising the cost and burden of producing European statistics on population	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* is coherent with wider EU policy and legislation, including the Charter of Fundamental Rights	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Options for modernising European statistics on population

This section covers **17 topics for potential modernisation** during the next 10 years. For each topic, the status quo is outlined briefly, followed by a question on whether you have an opinion on potential changes. Tick 'Yes' to answer some further questions or 'No' to skip the topic.

* Would you also like to share your views on the potential impacts for your organisation of modernising European statistics on population?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

1. Statistical population frames or registers

Currently, National Statistical Institutes (NSIs) are required to establish national statistical population frames fulfilling certain common criteria (covering total population exhaustively and accurately, up-to-date, basic statistical and geolocation information) to support survey sampling design, as defined in Article 12 of [Regulation \(EU\) 2019/1700](#).

* Do you have an opinion on potential changes to this status quo?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Which of these measures do you consider a priority:

	Priority
Maintain status quo	<input type="radio"/>
NSIs establish national statistical population registers with richer statistical information than frames; this would allow direct extraction of up-to-date statistics	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

What would be the impact for your organisation of this change:

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* NSIs establish national statistical population registers with richer statistical information than frames; this would allow direct extraction of up-to-date statistics	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Please specify the main positive impacts:

- ☒ Reduced costs
☒ Reduced staff time
☒

Enhanced quality of product/service

☐ Other

2. Harmonisation of the population definition

Currently, all European population datasets use a common population definition based on the usual residence concept. However, National Statistical Institutes (NSIs) are allowed to use, and in some cases are using, a population definition based on registered residence or legal resident for some or all datasets. This reduces the comparability of population statistics across Member States.

* Do you have an opinion on potential changes to this status quo?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Which of these measures do you consider a priority:

	High priority	Medium priority
Maintain status quo	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Publish European statistics on population based on a strictly harmonised population definition (based on usual residence concept) for all datasets	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Publish European statistics on population based on a harmonised population definition (based on a usual residence concept) for all datasets, with justified exceptions and limited impact on comparability of statistics across Member States	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

What would be the impact for your organisation of each of these changes:

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* Publish European statistics on population based on a strictly harmonised population definition (based on usual residence concept) for all datasets	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Publish European statistics on population based on a harmonised population definition (based on a usual residence concept) for all datasets, with justified exceptions and limited	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

impact on comparability of statistics across Member States						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

* Please specify the main positive impacts:

- ☒ Reduced costs
- ☒ Reduced staff time
- ☒ Enhanced quality of product/service
- ☐ Other

3. Regional detail of annual population statistics

Currently, National Statistical Institutes (NSIs) provide some annual population data at [NUTS 3](#) regional level, such as population by sex and age groups, while other annual population data are provided only at NUTS 2 or national level.

* Do you have an opinion on potential changes to this status quo?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Which of these measures do you consider a priority:

	Priority
Maintain status quo	<input type="radio"/>
NSIs provide all annual population data at NUTS 3 level	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Would you consider one of the following additional changes a priority?

	Priority
NSIs provide annual population data at functional geographic units or regional typology (cities, functional urban areas, rural areas, etc.)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
NSIs provide essential annual population data at functional geographic units or regional typology (cities, functional urban areas, rural areas, etc.)	<input type="radio"/>

What would be the impact for your organisation of each of these changes:

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* NSIs provide all annual population data at NUTS 3 level	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

* NSIs provide annual population data at functional geographic units or regional typology (cities, functional urban areas, rural areas, etc.)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
NSIs provide essential annual population data at functional geographic units or regional typology (cities, functional urban areas, rural areas, etc.)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

* Please specify the main positive impacts:

- ☒ Reduced costs
- ☒ Reduced staff time
- ☒ Enhanced quality of product/service
- ☐ Other

4. Regional detail of annual migration statistics

Currently, National Statistical Institutes (NSIs) do not provide migration data at regional ([NUTS](#)) level.

* Do you have an opinion on potential changes to this status quo?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Which of these measures do you consider a priority:

	High priority	Medium priority
Maintain status quo	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
NSIs provide migration data at NUTS 2 level	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
NSIs provide migration data at NUTS 3 level	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

* Which data do you think should be provided annually at NUTS level:

- ☐ migrant stocks
- ☒ migration flows

What would be the impact for your organisation of each of these changes:

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* NSIs provide migration data at NUTS 2 level	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* NSIs provide migration data at NUTS 3 level	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Please specify the main positive impacts:

- ☒ Reduced costs
- ☒ Reduced staff time
- ☒ Enhanced quality of product/service
- ☐ Other

5. Statistics at local/municipality level

Currently, National Statistical Institutes (NSIs) provide essential population and housing data at local /municipality ([LAU](#)) level every 10 years as part of the census.

* Do you have an opinion on potential changes to this status quo?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Which of these measures do you consider a priority:

	Priority
Maintain status quo	<input type="radio"/>
NSIs provide LAU data annually	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

* Which data do you think should be provided annually at LAU level: essential ...

- ☒ population data
- ☒ migration data
- ☒ housing data

What would be the impact for your organisation of this change:

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* NSIs provide LAU data annually	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Please specify the main positive impacts:

- ☒ Reduced costs
 - ☒ Reduced staff time
 - ☒ Enhanced quality of product/service
 - ☐ Other
-

6. Georeferenced statistics

Currently, National Statistical Institutes (NSIs) do not regularly provide georeferenced data – e.g. on 1 km square grids.

* Do you have an opinion on potential changes to this status quo?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Which of these measures do you consider a priority:

	High priority	Medium priority
Maintain status quo	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
NSIs provide georeferenced data every 10 years	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
NSIs provide georeferenced data annually	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

* Which statistical topics do you think should be georeferenced?

- ☒ Population and key characteristics
- ☐ Housing and key characteristics

What would be the impact for your organisation of each of these changes:

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* NSIs provide georeferenced data every 10 years	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* NSIs provide georeferenced data annually	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Please specify the main positive impacts:

- ☒ Reduced costs
- ☒

Reduced staff time

☒ Enhanced quality of product/service

☐ Other

7. Infra-annual statistics

Currently, National Statistical Institutes (NSIs) provide detailed data on deaths on a weekly or monthly basis as a special voluntary collection, following the start of the COVID-19 pandemic.

* Do you have an opinion on potential changes to this status quo?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Which of these measures do you consider a priority:

	High priority	Medium priority
Maintain status quo	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
NSIs provide detailed monthly data on population, births, deaths and migration regularly	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
NSIs provide less detailed quarterly data on population, births, deaths and migration regularly	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

What would be the impact for your organisation of each of these changes:

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* NSIs provide detailed monthly data on population, births, deaths and migration regularly	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* NSIs provide less detailed quarterly data on population, births, deaths and migration regularly	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Please specify the main positive impacts:

☒ Reduced costs

☒ Reduced staff time

☒ Enhanced quality of product/service

8. Frequency of EU census outputs

The EU population and housing census is currently carried out every 10 years due to the cost and complexity of the exercise in some Member States.

* Do you have an opinion on potential changes to this status quo?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Which of these measures do you consider a priority:

	High priority	Medium priority
Maintain status quo	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Split the census into annual and decennial outputs depending on relevance of data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Make the census annual	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

What would be the impact for your organisation of each of these changes:

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* Split the census into annual and decennial outputs depending on relevance of data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Make the census annual	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

9. Timeliness of annual population statistics

Currently, National statistical institutes (NSIs) provide annual European population statistics 12 months after the reference time.

* Do you have an opinion on potential changes to this status quo?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Which of these measures do you consider a priority:

--	--	--

	High priority	Medium priority
Maintain status quo	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<i>Quality first:</i> NSIs provide annual data more quickly as far as possible, while ensuring high statistical quality before the release	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<i>Timeliness first:</i> NSIs provide annual data substantially more quickly, at the expense of revising the data more often to improve quality gradually	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

What would be the impact for your organisation of each of these changes:

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* <i>Quality first:</i> NSIs provide annual data more quickly as far as possible, while ensuring high statistical quality before the release	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* <i>Timeliness first:</i> NSIs provide annual data substantially more quickly, at the expense of revising the data more often to improve quality gradually	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Please specify the main positive impacts:

- ☒ Reduced costs
- ☒ Reduced staff time
- ☒ Enhanced quality of product/service
- ☐ Other

10. Timeliness of EU census outputs

Currently, National Statistical Institutes (NSIs) decide when to publish EU population and housing census outputs within a legal deadline of at most 27 months after the census year.

* Do you have an opinion on potential changes to this status quo?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Which of these measures do you consider a priority:

	High priority	Medium priority
Maintain status quo	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
NSIs publish EU census data within a shorter legal deadline, but without the obligation to coordinate the exact release date at EU level	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
NSIs publish EU census data within a shorter legal deadline and with the obligation to coordinate the release date	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

What would be the impact for your organisation of each of these changes:

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* NSIs publish EU census data within a shorter legal deadline, but without the obligation to coordinate the exact release date at EU level	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* NSIs publish EU census data within a shorter legal deadline and with the obligation to coordinate the release date	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Please specify the main positive impacts:

- ☒ Reduced costs
- ☒ Reduced staff time
- ☒ Enhanced quality of product/service
- ☐ Other

11. Details of migrant population characteristics

Currently, migrant population groups (stocks) are defined by broad migratory and demographic characteristics – e.g. groups of country of birth by sex and five-year age bands.

* Do you have an opinion on potential changes to this status quo?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Which of these measures do you consider a priority:

--	--	--

	High priority	Medium priority
Maintain status quo	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Define migrant groups in more detail	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Define migrant groups in more detail, including socio-economic details	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

What would be the impact for your organisation of each of these changes:

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* Define migrant groups in more detail	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Define migrant groups in more detail, including socio-economic details	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

*** Please specify the main positive impacts:**

- ☒ Reduced costs
- ☒ Reduced staff time
- ☒ Enhanced quality of product/service
- ☐ Other

12. Details of migration flow characteristics

Currently, statistics on migration flows from/to third countries are more detailed than statistics on migration flows within the EU.

*** Do you have an opinion on potential changes to this status quo?**

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Which of these measures do you consider a priority:

	High priority	Medium priority
Maintain status quo	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Ensure that statistics on migration flows within the EU become as detailed on demographic aspects as statistics on migration flows from/to third countries	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Ensure that statistics on migration flows within the EU and from/to third countries become more detailed and comprehensively cover demographic and socio-economic aspects



*** Would you consider the following additional change a priority:**

Produce statistics on specific migratory movements (e.g. short-term migration, seasonal workers)

☒ Yes

☐ No

What would be the impact for your organisation of each of these changes:

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* Ensure that statistics on migration flows within the EU become as detailed on demographic aspects as statistics on migration flows from/to third countries	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Ensure that statistics on migration flows within the EU and from/to third countries become more detailed and comprehensively cover demographic and socio-economic aspects	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Produce statistics on specific migratory movements (e.g. short-term migration, seasonal workers)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

*** Please specify the main positive impacts:**

- ☒ Reduced costs
- ☒ Reduced staff time
- ☒ Enhanced quality of product/service
- ☐ Other

13. Voluntary statistics on marriages, divorces, legally induced abortions, infant mortality and loss of citizenship

Currently, some National Statistical Institutes (NSIs) provide statistics on marriages, divorces, legally induced abortions, infant mortality and loss of citizenship on a voluntary basis.

*** Do you have an opinion on potential changes to this status quo?**

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Which of these measures do you consider a priority:

	Priority
Maintain status quo	<input type="radio"/>
Define requirements for all NSIs to provide mandatory statistics on marriages, divorces, legally induced abortions, infant mortality and loss of citizenship	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

*** Which voluntary topics do you think should become mandatory: statistics on ...**

- ☐ marriages
☐ divorces
☐ legally induced abortions
☒ infant mortality
☐ loss of citizenship

What would be the impact for your organisation of this change:

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* Define requirements for all NSIs to provide mandatory statistics on marriages, divorces, legally induced abortions, infant mortality and loss of citizenship	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

*** Please specify the main positive impacts:**

- ☒ Reduced costs
☒ Reduced staff time
☒ Enhanced quality of product/service
☐ Other

14. Voluntary breakdowns of live births, deaths and international migration

Currently, National Statistical Institutes (NSIs) provide breakdowns of live births and deaths (e.g. marital and activity status, educational attainment) and a major part of breakdowns of international migration (within and from/to the EU) on a voluntary basis.

* Do you have an opinion on potential changes to this status quo?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Which of these measures do you consider a priority:

	Priority
Maintain status quo	<input type="radio"/>
Define requirements for NSIs to provide existing voluntary breakdowns on a mandatory basis	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

* Which voluntary breakdowns do you think should become mandatory: breakdowns of ...

- ☒ live births
☒ deaths
☒ international migration

What would be the impact for your organisation of this change:

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* Define requirements for NSIs to provide existing voluntary breakdowns on a mandatory basis	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Please specify the main positive impacts:

- ☒ Reduced costs
☒ Reduced staff time
☒ Enhanced quality of product/service
☐ Other

15. Statistics on equality and non-discrimination characteristics

The current legal framework does not include provisions for size and demographic characteristics of minority groups based on gender, ethnicity and race, religion and disability.

*** Do you have an opinion on potential changes to this status quo?**

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Which of these measures do you consider a priority:

	High priority	Medium priority
Maintain status quo	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Provide size and demographic characteristics of the groups referred to	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Provide size and demographic characteristics of the groups referred to, and disaggregate other statistics by such group characteristics where relevant	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

*** Which specific minority characteristics do you think should be covered by European statistics on population?**

- ☒ Gender
☒ Ethnicity and race
☒ Religion
☒ Disability

What would be the impact for your organisation of each of these changes:

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* Provide size and demographic characteristics of the groups referred to	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Provide size and demographic characteristics of the groups referred to, and disaggregate other statistics by such group characteristics where relevant	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

*** Please specify the main positive impacts:**

- ☒ Reduced costs
☒ Reduced staff time
☒ Enhanced quality of product/service

16. Flexibility of the legal framework

The current legal framework does not allow updating annual statistics to address emerging user needs. Moreover, annual population and demographic statistics will become deregulated from 2028 onwards (Article 12 of [Regulation \(EU\) No 1260/2013](#)). Any new or consequential statistical needs will have to be covered by voluntary data collections.

* Do you have an opinion on potential changes to this status quo?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Which of these measures do you consider a priority:

	High priority	Medium priority
Maintain status quo	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Include limited flexibility to adapt statistics; use voluntary data collections to serve emerging needs	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Include limited flexibility to adapt statistics; use initial voluntary data collections to serve emerging needs with a mechanism to make them mandatory later	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Include effective mechanisms to adapt statistics more quickly and efficiently (e.g. to meet emerging user needs, exploit new data sources or methods), where the administrative cost and burden are proportionate to the added value for the EU	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Include effective mechanisms to adapt statistics more quickly and efficiently when there is an added value for the EU, even if the administrative cost and burden are high	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

What would be the impact for your organisation of each of these changes:

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* Include limited flexibility to adapt statistics; use voluntary data collections to serve emerging needs	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Include limited flexibility to adapt statistics; use initial voluntary data collections to serve emerging needs with a	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

mechanism to make them mandatory later						
* Include effective mechanisms to adapt statistics more quickly and efficiently (e.g. to meet emerging user needs, exploit new data sources or methods), where the administrative cost and burden are proportionate to the added value for the EU	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Include effective mechanisms to adapt statistics more quickly and efficiently when there is an added value for the EU, even if the administrative cost and burden are high	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Please specify the main positive impacts:

- ☒ Reduced costs
- ☒ Reduced staff time
- ☒ Enhanced quality of product/service
- ☐ Other

17. Time series of annual statistics

A revision of the EU legal framework may entail changes in definition or methodology that would also affect statistics already published.

* **Do you have an opinion on how to handle this if the legal framework is revised?**

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If the legal framework were revised, which of these measures would you consider a priority:

	High priority	Medium priority

Update historic time series where feasible; National Statistical Institutes choose which time series to update	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Update historic time series proportionately (from 1990 for demography and from 2007 for international migration) to ensure a certain degree of comparability over time	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Update historic time series entirely (from 1960 for demography and from 1990 for international migration) to ensure comparability over time	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

What would be the impact for your organisation of each of these measures:

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	No change	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Don't know
* Update historic time series where feasible; National Statistical Institutes choose which time series to update	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Update historic time series proportionately (from 1990 for demography and from 2007 for international migration) to ensure a certain degree of comparability over time	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Update historic time series entirely (from 1960 for demography and from 1990 for international migration) to ensure comparability over time	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Please specify the main positive impacts:

- ☒ Reduced costs
- ☒ Reduced staff time
- ☒ Enhanced quality of product/service
- ☐ Other

Closing

A summary of the results of this consultation will be published on [Have Your Say](#) after its closure on 23 December 2021.

* Do you give your consent to be contacted by email for follow-up research on redeveloping European statistics on population?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Do you have any final comments or suggestions?

1000 character(s) maximum

Feel free to attach any relevant documents to support your replies:

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Useful links

[Have Your Say \(https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/have-your-say/initiatives/12958-European-statistic-on-population-ESOP_en\)](https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/have-your-say/initiatives/12958-European-statistic-on-population-ESOP_en)

[Eurostat \(https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat\)](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat)

[Eurobase \(https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database\)](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database)

Contact

ESTAT-CENSUS@ec.europa.eu